arXiv:math/0503116v1 [math.RA] 6 Mar 2005

Representations of $(2,n)$-semigroups by multiplace functions

Wiesław A. Dudek and Valentin S. Trokhimenko

[1] [2]

Abstract

We describe the representations of $(2,n)$-semigroups, i.e. groupoids with n binary associative operations, by partial n-place functions and prove that any such representation is a union of some family of representations induced by Schein's determining pairs.

Let A^n be the n-th Cartesian product of the set A. Any partial mapping from A^n into A is called a *partial n-place function*. The set of all such functions is denoted by $\mathcal{F}(A^n,A)$. The set of all *full n-place functions* on A, i.e. mappings defined for every $(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\in A^n$, is denoted by $\mathcal{T}(A^n,A)$. Obviously $\mathcal{T}(A^n,A)\subset\mathcal{F}(A^n,A)$. Note that in many papers full n-place functions are called *n-ary operations*.

On $\mathcal{F}(A^n,A)$ we define n binary compositions $\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus}$ putting

$$(f\underset{i}{\oplus}g)(a_1,\ldots,a_n)=f(a_1,\ldots,a_{i-1},g(a_1,\ldots,a_n),a_{i+1},\ldots,a_n) \qquad (1)$$

for all $f,g,g_1,\ldots,g_n\in\mathcal{F}(A^n,A)$ and $(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\in A^n$, where the left and right hand of (1) are defined or not defined simultaneously. Since all compositions $\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus}$ are associative operations, algebras of the form $(\Phi;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$, where $\Phi\subset\mathcal{F}(A^n,A)$, are called *$(2,n)$-semigroups of n-place functions*. If $\Phi\subset\mathcal{T}(A^n,A)$, then we say that $(\Phi;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ is a $(2,n)$-*semigroup of full n-place functions* (or *n-ary operations*).

The study of such compositions of functions were initiated by Mann [3] for binary operations and continuated by other authors (cf. for example [1], [7], [9]). Nowadays such defined compositions are called *Mann's compositions* or *Mann's superpositions*. Mann's compositions of n-ary operations are described in [8]. Abstract algebras isomorphic to some sets of operations closed with respect to these compositions are described in [6]. The

---

[1] 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20N15, 08N05

[2] Keywords and phrases: n-place function, algebra of functions, $(2,n)$-semigroup.

sets of partial functions closed with respect to these compositions and some additional operations are characterized in [2].

According to the general convention used in the theory of n-ary systems, the sequence $a_i, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_j$, where $i \leqslant j$, can be written in the abbreviated form as a_i^j (for $i > j$ it is the empty symbol). In this convention (1) can be written as

$$(f \underset{i}{\oplus} g)(a_1^n) = f(a_1^{i-1}, g(a_1^n), a_{i+1}^n).$$

The algebra $(G; \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$, where $\underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus}$ are associative binary operations on G, is called a $(2,n)$-*semigroup*. Each its homomorphism into some $(2,n)$-semigroup of n-place functions (n-ary operations) is called a *representation by n-place functions* (respectively, *by n-ary operations*). We say that a representation is *faithful* if it is an isomorphism. A $(2,n)$-semigroup for which there exists faithful representations is called *representable.*

In the sequel, all expressions of the form $(\cdots((x \underset{i_1}{\oplus} y_1) \underset{i_2}{\oplus} y_2)\cdots) \underset{i_k}{\oplus} y_k$ are denoted by $x \underset{i_1}{\oplus} y_1 \underset{i_2}{\oplus} \cdots \underset{i_k}{\oplus} y_k$ or by $x \overset{i_k}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^k$. The symbol $\mu_i(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} x_1^s)$ denotes an element $x_{i_k} \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_{k+1}}{\oplus}} x_{k+1}^s$ if $i = i_k$ and $i \neq i_p$ for all $p < k \leqslant s$. If $i \neq i_p$ for all $i_p \in \{i_1, \ldots, i_s\}$, this symbol is empty. For example, $\mu_1(\underset{2}{\oplus} x \underset{1}{\oplus} y \underset{3}{\oplus} z) = y \underset{3}{\oplus} z$, $\mu_2(\underset{2}{\oplus} x \underset{1}{\oplus} y \underset{3}{\oplus} z) = x \underset{1}{\oplus} y \underset{3}{\oplus} z$, $\mu_3(\underset{2}{\oplus} x \underset{1}{\oplus} y \underset{3}{\oplus} z) = z$. The symbol $\mu_4(\underset{2}{\oplus} x \underset{1}{\oplus} y \underset{3}{\oplus} z)$ is empty.

For $f, g_1, \ldots, g_n \in \mathcal{F}(A^n, A)$, by $f[g_1 \ldots g_n]$ (or shortly by $f[g_1^n]$) we denote the so-called *Menger superposition* [5] of n-place functions, which is defined by the equality

$$f[g_1 \ldots g_n](a_1^n) = f(g_1(a_1^n), \ldots, g_n(a_1^n)), \tag{2}$$

where $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$. It is assumed that the left and right hand of (2) are defined or not defined simultaneously. By $I_1^n, \ldots, I_n^n$ we denote the n-place *projectors*, i.e. n-place functions from $\mathcal{T}(A^n, A)$ such that

$$I_i^n(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = a_i \tag{3}$$

for all $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$. It is not difficult to verify, that for all n-place functions defined on A we have

$$f[I_1^n \ldots I_n^n] = f, \tag{4}$$

$$I_i^n[g_1 \ldots g_n] = g_i \circ \triangle_{\mathrm{pr}_1 g_1 \cap \ldots \cap \mathrm{pr}_1 g_n}, \tag{5}$$

$$f \underset{i}{\oplus} g = f[I_1^n \ldots I_{i-1}^n \, g \, I_{i+1}^n \ldots I_n^n], \tag{6}$$

$$f[g_1^n][h_1^n] = f[g_1[h_1^n] \ldots g_n[h_1^n]], \tag{7}$$

$$(f \underset{i}{\oplus} g)[h_1^n] = f[h_1^{i-1}\, g[h_1^n]\, h_{i+1}^n], \tag{8}$$

$$f[g_1^n] \underset{i}{\oplus} h = f[(g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} h) \dots (g_n \underset{i}{\oplus} h)], \tag{9}$$

$$g \underset{i}{\oplus} I_i^n = I_i^n \underset{i}{\oplus} g = g, \tag{10}$$

$$I_k^n \underset{i}{\oplus} g = I_k^n \circ \triangle_{\mathrm{pr}_1 g} \tag{11}$$

for all $i, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $k \neq i$, where $\triangle_H = \{(h,h) \mid h \in H\}$ and $\mathrm{pr}_1 g$ denotes the domain of g.

Proposition 1. *For all $f, g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{F}(A^n, A)$ and $\underset{i_1}{\oplus}, \dots, \underset{i_s}{\oplus}$ we have*

$$f \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s = f[(I_1^n \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s) \dots (I_n^n \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s)]. \tag{12}$$

Proof. We prove (12) by induction. For $s = 1$, by (6) and (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& f \underset{i_1}{\oplus} g_1 = f[I_1^n \dots I_{i_1-1}^n\, g_1\, I_{i_1+1}^n \dots I_n^n] \\
& = f[(I_1^n \circ \triangle_{\mathrm{pr}_1 g_1}) \dots (I_{i_1-1}^n \circ \triangle_{\mathrm{pr}_1 g_1}) g_1\, (I_{i_1+1}^n \circ \triangle_{\mathrm{pr}_1 g_1}) \dots (I_n^n \circ \triangle_{\mathrm{pr}_1 g_1})] \\
& = f[(I_1^n \underset{i_1}{\oplus} g_1) \dots (I_{i_1-1}^n \underset{i_1}{\oplus} g_1)(I_{i_1}^n \underset{i_1}{\oplus} g_1)(I_{i_1+1}^n \underset{i_1}{\oplus} g_1) \dots (I_n^n \underset{i_1}{\oplus} g_1)] \\
& = f[(I_1^n \underset{i_1}{\oplus} g_1) \dots (I_n^n \underset{i_1}{\oplus} g_1)].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $s = 1$, the condition (12) is valid.

Assume that it is valid for $s = k$, i.e. that

$$f \overset{i_k}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^k = f[(I_1^n \overset{i_k}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^k) \dots (I_n^n \overset{i_k}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^k)].$$

Then, according to this assumption and (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
f \overset{i_{k+1}}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^{k+1} &= (f \overset{i_k}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^k) \underset{i_{k+1}}{\oplus} g_{k+1} = f[(I_1^n \overset{i_k}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^k) \dots (I_n^n \overset{i_k}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^k)] \underset{i_{k+1}}{\oplus} g_{k+1} \\
&= f[(I_1^n \overset{i_k}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^k) \underset{i_{k+1}}{\oplus} g_{k+1} \ \dots \ (I_n^n \overset{i_k}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^k) \underset{i_{k+1}}{\oplus} g_{k+1}] \\
&= f[(I_1^n \overset{i_{k+1}}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^{k+1}) \ \dots \ (I_n^n \overset{i_{k+1}}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^{k+1})],
\end{aligned}$$

which proves (12) for $s = k + 1$. So, on the basis of the principle of mathematical induction, it is valid for all natural s. □

Proposition 2. *The following implication*

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} \left(\mu_i(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s) = \mu_i(\overset{j_k}{\underset{j_1}{\oplus}} h_1^k) \right) \longrightarrow f \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s = f \overset{j_k}{\underset{j_1}{\oplus}} h_1^k \tag{13}$$

is valid for all $f, g_1, \dots, g_s, h_1, \dots, h_k \in \mathcal{F}(A^n, A)$ and $i_1, \dots, i_s, j_1, \dots, j_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

Proof. Assume that the premise of (13) is satisfied. Then $\{i_1,\ldots,i_s\}=\{j_1,\ldots,j_k\}$. Indeed, for $i\not\in\{i_1,\ldots,i_s\}$, the symbol $\mu_i(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s)$ is empty. So, $\mu_i(\overset{j_k}{\underset{j_1}{\oplus}} h_1^k)$ also is empty. Thus $i\not\in\{j_1,\ldots,j_k\}$.

If $a_1^n\in\mathrm{pr}_1\,(f\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s)$, then, according to (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(f\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s)(a_1^n)&=f[(I_1^n\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s)\ldots(I_n^n\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s)](a_1^n)\\&=f((I_1^n\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s)(a_1^n),\ldots,(I_n^n\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s)(a_1^n))\\&=f(\mu_1'(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s)(a_1^n),\ldots,\mu_n'(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s)(a_1^n)),\end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_i'(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s)(a_1^n)$ is equal to a_i for $i\not\in\{i_1,\ldots,i_s\}$, and $\mu_i(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s)(a_1^n)$ for $i\in\{i_1,\ldots,i_s\}$. As $\{i_1,\ldots,i_s\}=\{j_1,\ldots,j_k\}$ and the premise of (13) is satisfied, then

$$\mu_i'(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s)(a_1^n)=\mu_i'(\overset{j_k}{\underset{j_1}{\oplus}} h_1^k)(a_1^n),$$

for all $i=1,\ldots,n$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}f\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s(a_1^n)&=f(\mu_1'(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s)(a_1^n),\ldots,\mu_n'(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s)(a_1^n))\\&=f(\mu_1'(\overset{j_k}{\underset{j_1}{\oplus}} h_1^k)(a_1^n),\ldots,\mu_n'(\overset{j_k}{\underset{j_1}{\oplus}} h_1^k)(a_1^n))=(f\overset{j_k}{\underset{j_1}{\oplus}} h_1^k)(a_1^n),\end{aligned}$$

which proves the inclusion[3] $f\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s\subset f\overset{j_k}{\underset{j_1}{\oplus}} h_1^k$. The converse inclusion can be proved analogously. So, the implication (13) is valid. □

Basing on the above two propositions we can prove the following theorem, which was early proved in [6] for n-ary operations.

Theorem 1. *A $(2,n)$-semigroup $(G;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ has a faithful representation by partial n-place functions if and only if for all $g,x_1,\ldots,x_s,y_1,\ldots,y_k\in G$ and $i_1,\ldots,i_s,j_1,\ldots,j_k\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$ the following implication*

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n}\Big(\mu_i(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} x_1^s)=\mu_i(\overset{j_k}{\underset{j_1}{\oplus}} y_1^k)\Big)\longrightarrow g\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} x_1^s=g\overset{j_k}{\underset{j_1}{\oplus}} y_1^k \tag{14}$$

is satisfied.

Proof. The necessity of the condition (14) follows from Proposition 2. The proof of the sufficiency is based on the modification on the construction used in the proof of Theorem 3 from [6], where the analogous result was proved for n-ary operations. Let $(G;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ be a $(2,n)$-semigroup and let $G^*=G\cup\{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}$, where elements $e_1,\ldots,e_n\notin G$ are fixed. For $x_1,\ldots,x_s\in G$, $i_1,\ldots,i_s\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$ and $i=1,\ldots,n$, by $\mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}x_1^s)$ we denote the element of G^* such that

---

[3] Remind that $f\subset g$ if and only if $\mathrm{pr}_1 f\subset\mathrm{pr}_1 g$ and $f(x)=g(x)$ for $x\in\mathrm{pr}_1 f$.

$$\mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}x_1^s)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccl}\mu_i(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}x_1^s) & \text{if} & i\in\{i_1,\ldots,i_s\},\\ e_i & \text{if} & i\not\in\{i_1,\ldots,i_s\}.\end{array}\right.$$

Consider the set $A_n=B_n\cup\{(e_1,\ldots,e_n)\}$, where B_n is the collection of all n-tuples $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in(G^*)^n$ for which there exist $y_1,\ldots,y_s\in G$ and $i_1,\ldots,i_s\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$ such that $x_i=\mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s)$. Next, for every $g\in G$ we define n-place function $\lambda_g^*:(G^*)^n\to G^*$ putting

$$\lambda_g^*(x_1^n)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccl}g\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s, & \text{if} & (x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in B_n,\\ g & \text{if} & (x_1,\ldots,x_n)=(e_1,\ldots,e_n).\end{array}\right.$$

For other elements of $(G^*)^n$ this function is not defined.

The mapping $P:g\mapsto\lambda_g^*$ is a faithful representation of $(G;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ by such defined n-place functions. Indeed, if $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in B_n$, then

$$\lambda^*_{g_1\underset{i}{\oplus}g_2}(x_1^n)=(g_1\underset{i}{\oplus}g_2)\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s.$$

But for $i\in\{i,i_1,\ldots,i_s\}$, we have

$$\mu_i^*(\underset{i}{\oplus}g_2\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s)=\mu_i(\underset{i}{\oplus}g_2\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s)=g_2\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s=\lambda^*_{g_2}(x_1^n)$$

and $\mu_k^*(\underset{i}{\oplus}g_2\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s)=\mu_k(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s)=x_k$ for all $k\neq i$. This means that $(x_1^{i-1},\lambda^*_{g_2}(x_1^n),x_{i+1}^n)\in B_n$ and in the consequence

$$g_1\underset{i}{\oplus}g_2\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s=\lambda^*_{g_1}(x_1^{i-1},\lambda^*_{g_2}(x_1^n),x_{i+1}^n)=\lambda^*_{g_1}\underset{i}{\oplus}\lambda^*_{g_2}(x_1^n).$$

Thus $\lambda^*_{g_1\underset{i}{\oplus}g_2}(x_1^n)=\lambda^*_{g_1}\underset{i}{\oplus}\lambda^*_{g_2}(x_1^n)$ for all $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in B_n$.

In the case $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=(e_1,\ldots,e_n)$ we have $\lambda^*_{g_1\underset{i}{\oplus}g_2}(e_1^n)=g_1\underset{i}{\oplus}g_2$ and $\lambda^*_{g_2}(e_1^n)=g_2=\mu_i(\underset{i}{\oplus}g_2)=\mu_i^*(\underset{i}{\oplus}g_2)$. Since $\mu_k^*(\underset{i}{\oplus}g_2)=e_k$ for $k\neq i$, the element $(e_1^{i-1},\lambda^*_{g_2}(e_1^n),e_{i+1}^n)$ lies in B_n and $\lambda^*_{g_1}(e_1^{i-1},\lambda^*_{g_2}(e_1^n),e_{i+1}^n)=g_1\underset{i}{\oplus}g_2$. So, $\lambda^*_{g_1\underset{i}{\oplus}g_2}(e_1^n)=\lambda^*_{g_1}\underset{i}{\oplus}\lambda^*_{g_2}(e_1^n)$. Thus, in any case we have $P(g_1\underset{i}{\oplus}g_2)=$

$P(g_1) \underset{i}{\oplus} P(g_2)$, which completes the proof that P is a homomorphism. Hence P is a representation of $(G; \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ by partial n-place functions.

This representation is faithful because $P(g_1) = P(g_2)$, i.e. $\lambda^*_{g_1} = \lambda^*_{g_2}$, implies $\lambda^*_{g_1}(e_1^n) = \lambda^*_{g_2}(e_1^n)$, whence $g_1 = g_2$. $\square$

Theorem 2. *Every $(2,n)$-semigroup satisfying the condition (14) has a faithful representation by full n-place functions.*

Proof. Let $(G; \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ be some $(2,n)$-semigroup. By Theorem 1 it is isomorphic to some $(2,n)$-semigroup $(\Phi; \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ of partial n-place functions, where $\Phi \subset \mathcal{F}(A^n, A)$. Consider now the set $A_0 = A \cup \{c\}$, where $c \notin A$, and the extension f^0 of $f \in \Phi$ defined in the following way:

$$f^0(x_1^n) = \begin{cases} f(x_0^n) & \text{if} \quad (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathrm{pr}_1 f, \\ c & \text{if} \quad (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \notin \mathrm{pr}_1 f \end{cases}$$

for all $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in A_0$. It is clear that f^0 is a full n-place function on A_0.

Let us show that the mapping $f \mapsto f^0$ is an isomorphism of $(\Phi; \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ onto $(\Phi_0; \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$, where $\Phi_0 = \{f^0 \,|\, f \in \Phi\}$. Indeed, if $f, g \in \Phi$, then in the case $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathrm{pr}_1 (f \underset{i}{\oplus} g)$ we have $(f \underset{i}{\oplus} g)^0(x_1^n) = f \underset{i}{\oplus} g(x_1^n)$ and $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathrm{pr}_1 g$, $(x_1^{i-1}, g(x_1^n), x_{i+1}^n) \in \mathrm{pr}_1 f$. Hence $g^0(x_1^n) = g(x_1^n)$, and in the consequence

$$\begin{aligned} f^0 \underset{i}{\oplus} g^0(x_1^n) &= f^0(x_1^{i-1}, g^0(x_1^n), x_{i+1}^n) = f^0(x_1^{i-1}, g(x_1^n), x_{i+1}^n) \\ &= f(x_1^{i-1}, g(x_1^n), x_{i+1}^n) = f \underset{i}{\oplus} g(x_1^n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(f \underset{i}{\oplus} g)^0(x_1^n) = f^0 \underset{i}{\oplus} g^0(x_1^n)$.

In the case when $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \notin \mathrm{pr}_1 (f \underset{i}{\oplus} g)$ we have $(f \underset{i}{\oplus} g)^0(x_1^n) = c$ and $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \notin \mathrm{pr}_1 g$, or $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathrm{pr}_1 g$ and $(x_1^{i-1}, g(x_1^n), x_{i+1}^n) \notin \mathrm{pr}_1 f$. If $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \notin \mathrm{pr}_1 g$, then $g^0(x_1^n) = c$ and

$$f^0 \underset{i}{\oplus} g^0(x_1^n) = f^0(x_1^{i-1}, g^0(x_1^n), x_{i+1}^n) = f^0(x_1^{i-1}, c, x_{i+1}^n) = c.$$

If $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathrm{pr}_1 g$ and $(x_1^{i-1}, g(x_1^n), x_{i+1}^n) \notin \mathrm{pr}_1 f$, then $g^0(x_1^n) = g(x_0^n)$ and

$$f^0 \underset{i}{\oplus} g^0(x_1^n) = f^0(x_1^{i-1}, g^0(x_1^n), x_{i+1}^n) = f^0(x_1^{i-1}, g(x_1^n), x_{i+1}^n) = c.$$

So, in all cases $(f \underset{i}{\oplus} g)^0(x_1^n) = f^0 \underset{i}{\oplus} g^0(x_1^n)$ for all $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in A_0^n$. Thus, the mapping $f \mapsto f^0$ is a homomorphism.

If $f^0 = g^0$ for some $f, g \in \Phi$, then $\mathrm{pr}_1 f = \mathrm{pr}_1 g$ and $f(x_1^n) = g(x_1^n)$ for all $x_1^n \in \mathrm{pr}_1 f$, i.e. $f = g$. So, $f \mapsto f^0$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

Definition 1. A $(2,n)$-semigroup $(G;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ is called *unitary*, if it contains *selectors*, i.e. such elements $e_1,\ldots,e_n$ for which the equalities

$$g\underset{i}{\oplus}e_i=e_i\underset{i}{\oplus}g=g, \tag{15}$$

$$e_k\underset{i}{\oplus}g=e_k \tag{16}$$

are valid for all $g\in G$ and $i,k\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$, where $i\neq k$.

Let $(G;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ be a $(2,n)$-semigroup. We say that a unitary $(2,n)$-semigroup $(G^*,\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ with selectors $e_1,\ldots,e_n$ is a *unitary extension* of a $(2,n)$-semigroup $(G;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$, if

(a) $G\subset G^*$,

(b) $G\cap\{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}=\varnothing$,

(c) $G\cup\{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}$ is a generating set of a $(2,n)$-semigroup $(G^*,\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$.

Theorem 3. *Every representable $(2,n)$-semigroup can be isomorphically embedded into a unitary extension of some $(2,n)$-semigroup.*

Proof. By Theorem 1 every representable $(2,n)$-semigroup $(G;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ satisfies the condition (14). By Theorem 2 it is isomorphic to some $(2,n)$-semigroup $(\Phi_0;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ of full n-place functions on some set A_0.

Consider the set $\{I_1^n,\ldots,I_n^n\}$ of n-place projectors on A_0 and the family of subsets $(F_k(\Phi_0))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying the following two conditions:

1) $F_0(\Phi_0)=\Phi_0\cup\{I_1^n,\ldots,I_n^n\}$,

2) $f,g\in F_k(\Phi_0)\longrightarrow f\underset{i}{\oplus}g\in F_{k+1}(\Phi_0)$.

Obviously $\{I_1^n,\ldots,I_n^n\}\subset F_k(\Phi_0)$ for every $k\in\mathbb{N}$. Moreover, if $f\in F_k(\Phi_0)$, then $f=f\underset{i}{\oplus}I_i^n\in F_{k+1}(\Phi_0)$. So, $\Phi_0\subset F_k(\Phi_0)\subset F_{k+1}(\Phi_0)$ for every $k\in\mathbb{N}$.

Now let $\Phi^*=\bigcup\limits_{k=0}^{\infty}F_k(\Phi_0)$. Then $\Phi_0\cup\{I_1^n,\ldots,I_n^n\}=F_0(\Phi_0)\subset\Phi^*$ and $\{I_1^n,\ldots,I_n^n\}\cap\Phi_0=\varnothing$. If $f\in F_n(\Phi_0)$, $g\in F_m(\Phi_0)$ for some $n,m\in\mathbb{N}$, then $f,g\in F_k(\Phi_0)$, where $k=\max(n,m)$. Therefore $f\underset{i}{\oplus}g\in F_{k+1}(\Phi_0)\subset\Phi^*$. This means that the set Φ^* is closed with respect to the operations $\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus}$ and contains the selectors $I_1^n,\ldots,I_n^n$. Hence $(\Phi^*;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ is a unitary extension of a $(2,n)$-semigroup $(\Phi_0;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$. □

Let $(P_i)_{i\in I}$ be the family of representations of a $(2,n)$-semigroup $(G;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ by n-place functions defined on sets $(A_i)_{i\in I}$, respectively. By the *union* of this family we mean the mapping $P : g \mapsto P(g)$, where $g \in G$, and $P(g)$ is an n-place function on $A = \bigcup\limits_{i\in I} A_i$ defined by

$$P(g) = \bigcup_{i\in I} P_i(g)\,.$$

If $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for all $i, j \in I$, $i \neq j$, then P is called the *sum* of $(P_i)_{i\in I}$ and is denoted by $P = \sum_{i\in I} P_i$. It is not difficult to see that the sum of representations is a representation, but the union of representations may not be a representation.

Let $(G;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ be a $(2,n)$-semigroup. A binary relation $\rho \subset G\times G$ is called

- *v-regular*, if

  $$\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n}(x_i, y_i) \in \rho \longrightarrow (g \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} u_1^s\,,\ g \overset{j_k}{\underset{j_1}{\oplus}} v_1^k) \in \rho$$

  for all $g \in G$ and $x_i = \mu_i(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} u_1^s)$, $y_i = \mu_i(\overset{j_k}{\underset{j_1}{\oplus}} v_1^k)$, $i = 1,\ldots,n$, where $u_1,\ldots,u_s \in G$, $v_1,\ldots,v_k \in G$,

- *l-regular*, if

  $$(x, y) \in \rho \longrightarrow (x \underset{i}{\oplus} z\,,\ y \underset{i}{\oplus} z) \in \rho$$

  for all $x, y, z \in G$, $i = 1,\ldots,n$,

- *v-negative*, if

  $$\Big(x \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^n\,,\ \mu_i(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)\Big) \in \rho$$

  for all $i \in \{i_1,\ldots,i_s\}$ and $x, y_1,\ldots,y_s \in G$.

A nonempty subset W of G is called an *l-ideal*, if the implication

$$g \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} x_1^s \notin W \longrightarrow \mu_i(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} x_1^s) \notin W$$

is valid for all $g, x_1,\ldots,x_s \in G$ and $i \in \{i_1,\ldots,i_s\} \subset \{1,\ldots,n\}$.

By a *determining pair* of a $(2,n)$-semigroup $(G;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ we mean an ordered pair $(\mathcal{E}, W)$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a symmetric and transitive binary relation defined on a unitary extension $(G^*;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ of a $(2,n)$-semigroup $(G;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ and W is a subset of G^* such that

1) $G \cup \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\} \subset \mathrm{pr}_1\, \mathcal{E}$,

2) $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\} \cap W = \varnothing$,

3) $\bigwedge\limits_{i=1}^{n} e_i \equiv x_i(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow g \equiv g \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s(\mathcal{E})$, where $x_i = \mu_i(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, $y_1, \ldots, y_s \in G^*$,

4) $\bigwedge\limits_{i=1}^{n} x_i \equiv y_i(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow g \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} u_1^s \equiv g \overset{j_k}{\underset{j_1}{\oplus}} v_1^k(\mathcal{E})$ for all $g \in G$, $x_i = \mu_i(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} u_1^s) \in G$, $y_i = \mu_i(\overset{j_k}{\underset{j_1}{\oplus}} v_1^k)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where $u_1, \ldots, u_s \in G^*$, $v_1, \ldots, v_k \in G^*$,

5) if $W \neq \varnothing$, then W is an $\mathcal{E}$-class and $W \cap G$ is an l-ideal of G.

Let $(H_a)_{a \in A}$ be a collection of $\mathcal{E}$-classes (uniquely indexed by elements of A) such that $H_a \neq W$ and $H_a \cap (G \cup \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}) \neq \emptyset$ for all $a \in A$. Consider the set $\mathfrak{A}$ of elements $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in A^n$ satisfying one from the following conditions

(a) $H_{a_i} = \mathcal{E}\langle \mu^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s) \rangle$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and some $y_1, \ldots, y_s \in G$,[4]

(b) $H_{a_i} = \mathcal{E}\langle e_i \rangle$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Next, using this set, for each element $g \in G$, we define an n-place function $P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g)$ on A putting

$$(a_1^n, b) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g) \longleftrightarrow (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathfrak{A} \wedge \begin{cases} g \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_2}{\oplus}} y_1^s \in H_b & \text{if holds } (a), \\ \qquad g \in H_b & \text{if holds } (b). \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3. *If a $(2,n)$-semigroup $(G; \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ is representative, then a mapping $g \mapsto P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g)$, where $(\mathcal{E}, W)$ is its determining pair, is a representation of this $(2,n)$-semigroup by n-place functions.*

Proof. We must show that

$$P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2) = P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1) \underset{i}{\oplus} P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_2) \tag{17}$$

for all $g_1, g_2 \in G$ and $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Let $(a_1^n, b) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2)$ for some $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then, according to the definition of $P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}$, we have $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathfrak{A}$. In the case (a), we have also $(g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2) \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s \in H_b$. But $H_b \cap W = \varnothing$, so, $(g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2) \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s \notin W$. Therefore

---

[4] $\mathcal{E}\langle x \rangle$ denotes the $\mathcal{E}$-class of x.

$\mu_i^*(\underset{i}{\oplus} g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s) \notin W$, i.e. $g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s \notin W$, because W is an l-ideal. Assume that $g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s \in H_c$. Since

$$\mu_k^*(\underset{i}{\oplus} g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s) = \mu_k^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s) \notin W \quad \text{for} \quad k \neq i,$$

we have $(a_1^{i-1}, c, a_{i+1}^n) \in \mathfrak{A}$, which, together with $(a_1^n, c) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_2)$, implies $(a_1^n, b) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1) \underset{i}{\oplus} P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_2)$.

In the case (b) we obtain $g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2 \in H_b$. Thus $g_2 \notin W$, and in the consequence, $g_2 \in H_c$ for some $c \in A$. Therefore $(a_1^{i-1}, c, a_{i+1}^n) \in \mathfrak{A}$, whence

$$(a_1^{i-1}\, c\, a_{i+1}^n, b) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1) \qquad \text{and} \qquad (a_1^n, c) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_2).$$

Consequently $(a_1^n, b) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1) \underset{i}{\oplus} P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_2)$, which shows that the inclusion

$$P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2) \subset P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1) \underset{i}{\oplus} P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_2)$$

is valid in any case.

Now let $(a_1^n, b) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1) \underset{i}{\oplus} P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_2)$. Then there exists $c \in A$ such that $(a_1^n, c) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_2)$ and $(a_1^{i-1} c\, a_{i+1}^n, b) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1)$.

If $H_{a_i} = \mathcal{E}\langle e_i \rangle$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$, then $g_2 \in H_c$. Thus $(a_1^{i-1}, c, a_{i+1}^n) \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2 \in H_b$, whence $(a_1^n, b) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2)$.

If $H_{a_i} = \mathcal{E}\langle \mu^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s) \rangle$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and some $y_1, \ldots, y_s \in G$, then $H_b = \mathcal{E}\langle g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s \rangle$, because $H_c = \mathcal{E}\langle g_2 \overset{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s \rangle = \mathcal{E}\langle \mu_i^*(\underset{i}{\oplus} g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s) \rangle$ and

$$H_k = \mathcal{E}\langle \mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s) \rangle = \mathcal{E}\langle \mu_i^*(\underset{i}{\oplus} g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s) \rangle, \quad k \neq i.$$

Therefore $(a_1^n, b) \in P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2)$. So,

$$P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1) \underset{i}{\oplus} P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_2) \subset P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}(g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2),$$

which, together with the previous inclusion, proves (17). □

According to [5], the representation $P_{(\mathcal{E},W)}$, uniquely determined by the pair $(\mathcal{E}, W)$, will be called *simplest*.

Theorem 4. *Any representation of a $(2,n)$-semigroup by n-place functions is a union of some family of its simplest representations.*

Proof. Let P be a representation of a $(2,n)$-semigroup $(G;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ by n-place functions defined on A, and let $c\notin A$ be some fixed element. For every $g\in G$ we define on $A_0=A\cup\{c\}$ an n-place function $P^*(g)$ putting:

$$P^*(g)=\begin{cases} P(g)(a_1^n) & \text{if}\quad (a_1,\ldots,a_n)\in \operatorname{pr}_1 P(g),\\ \quad c & \text{if}\quad (a_1,\ldots,a_n)\notin \operatorname{pr}_1 P(g).\end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to see that P^* is a representation of $(G;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ by n-place functions defined on A_0, and $P(g)\mapsto P^*(g)$, where $g\in G$, is an isomorphism of $(P(G);\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ onto $(P^*(G);\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$. Because $G\cup\{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}$ is a generating set of a unitary extension $(G^*;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ with selectors $e_1,\ldots,e_n$, then putting $P^*(e_i)=I_i^n$, $i=1,\ldots,n$, where I_i^n is the i-th n-place projector of A_0, we obtain a unique extension of P^* from G to G^*.

For any $(a_1,\ldots,a_n)\in A^n$ we define on G^* an equivalence $\Theta_{a_1^n}$ such that

$$x\equiv y(\theta_{a_1^n})\longleftrightarrow P^*(x)(a_1^n)=P^*(y)(a_1^n).$$

This equivalence is v-regular. Indeed, if $x_i\equiv y_i(\theta_{a_1^n})$, i.e. $P^*(x_i)(a_1^n)=P^*(y_i)(a_1^n)$ for $i=1,\ldots,n$, then for $g\in G$ and $x_i=\mu_i(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}u_1^s)$, $y_i=\mu_i(\overset{j_k}{\underset{j_1}{\oplus}}v_1^k)$, $i=1,\ldots,n$, by Proposition 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P^*(g\overset{i_s}{\underset{v_1}{\oplus}}u_1^s)(a_1^n) &= P^*(g)\underset{i_1}{\oplus}P^*(u_1)\underset{i_2}{\oplus}\ldots\underset{i_s}{\oplus}P^*(u_s)(a_1^n)\\
&= P^*(g)\Big[\Big(I_1^n\underset{i_1}{\oplus}P^*(u_1)\underset{i_2}{\oplus}\ldots\underset{i_s}{\oplus}P^*(u_s)\Big)\ldots\\
&\qquad\qquad \ldots\Big(I_n^n\underset{i_1}{\oplus}P^*(u_1)\underset{i_2}{\oplus}\ldots\underset{i_s}{\oplus}P^*(u_s)\Big)\Big](a_1^n)\\
&= P^*(g)\Big(P^*(\mu_1(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}u_1^s))(a_1^n)\,,\;\ldots\;,\,P^*(\mu_n(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}u_1^s))(a_1^n)\Big)\\
&= P^*(g)\Big(P^*(x_1)(a_1^n)\,,\;\ldots\;,\,P^*(x_n)(a_1^n)\Big)\\
&= P^*(g)\Big(P^*(y_1)(a_1^n)\,,\;\ldots\;,\,P^*(y_n)(a_1^n)\Big)\\
&= \ldots = P^*(g\overset{j_k}{\underset{j_1}{\oplus}}v_1^k)(a_1^n).
\end{aligned}$$

So, $g\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}u_1^s\equiv g\overset{j_k}{\underset{j_1}{\oplus}}v_1^k(\theta_{a_1^n})$. This proves the v-regularity of the equivalence $\theta_{a_1^n}$. All subsets of the form

$$H_b^{a_1^n}=\{x\in G^*\,|\,(a_1^n,b)\in P^*(x)\}$$

are, of course, the equivalence classes of this relation. Moreover, the pair $(\mathcal{E}_{a_1^n},W_{a_1^n})$, where

$$\mathcal{E}_{a_1^n}=\theta_{a_1^n}\cap\theta_{a_1^n}\Big(G\cup\{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}\Big)\times\theta_{a_1^n}\Big(G\cup\{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}\Big),$$
$$W_{a_1^n}=\{x\in G^*\,|\,P^*(x)(a_1^n)=c\},$$

is a determining pair of a $(2,n)$-semigroup $(G; \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$.

We prove that a representation P is a union of the family of simplest representations $P_{a_1^n}$ of $(G; \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ induced by a determining pair $(\mathcal{E}_{a_1^n}, W_{a_1^n})$, i.e. that

$$P(g) = \bigcup_{a_1^n \in A^n} P_{a_1^n}(g) \tag{18}$$

for every $g \in G$. Indeed, if $(b_1^n, d) \in P(g)$, then $g \in H_d^{b_1^n}$, where $b_1^n \in A^n$, $d \in A$. But $e_i \in H_{b_i}^{b_1^n}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $g \in H_d^{b_1^n}$, imply, according to the definition, $(b_1^n, d) \in P_{b_1^n}(g)$. Therefore $(b_1^n, d) \in \bigcup\limits_{a_1^n \in A^n} P_{a_1^n}(g)$. So,

$$P(g) \subset \bigcup_{a_1^n \in A^n} P_{a_1^n}(g).$$

Conversely, if $(b_1^n, d) \in P_{a_1^n}(g)$ for some $a_1^n \in A^n$, then $g \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s \in H_d^{a_1^n}$ (for $H_{b_i}^{a_1^n} = \mathcal{E}_{a_1^n}\langle \mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)\rangle$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$), or $g \in H_d^{a_1^n}$ (for $H_{b_i}^{a_1^n} = \mathcal{E}_{a_1^n}\langle e_i\rangle$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, where $b_1^n \in A^n$).

For $\mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s) \in H_{b_i}^{a_1^n}$ we have $b_i = P\Big(\mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)\Big)(a_1^n)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. From $g \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s \in H_d^{a_1^n}$ we obtain $d = P\Big(g \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s\Big)(a_1^n)$. But P is a homomorphism, so,

$$d = P(g)\left(P\Big(\mu_1^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)\Big)(a_1^n), \ldots, P\Big(\mu_n^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)\Big)(a_1^n)\right) = P(g)(b_1^n).$$

Hence $(b_1^n, c) \in P(g)$.

For $e_i \in H_{b_i}^{a_1^n}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we get $(a_1^n, b_i) \in P^*(e_i) = I_i^n$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, whence $a_i = b_i$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. So, $g \in H_d^{b_1^n}$, i.e. $(b_1^n, d) \in P(g)$.

Thus, in these both cases we have

$$\bigcup_{a_1^n \in A^n} P_{a_1^n}(g) \subset P(g).$$

which, together with the previous inclusion, proves (18). □

Let P be a representation of a $(2,n)$-semigroup $(G; \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ by n-place functions. Define on G two binary relations χ_P and ε_P putting

$$(g_1, g_2) \in \chi_P \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{pr}_1\, P(g_1) \subset \mathrm{pr}_1\, P(g_2),$$
$$(g_1, g_2) \in \varepsilon_P \longleftrightarrow P(g_1) = P(g_2).$$

It is not difficult to see that the relation χ_P is reflexive and transitive, i.e. it is a quasi-order. The relation ε_P is an equivalence on G. If a representation P is faithful, then $\varepsilon_P = \triangle_G = \{(g,g) \,|\, g \in G\}$. In the case when P is a representation by full n-place functions, we have $\chi_P = G \times G$. Moreover, if P is a sum of a family $(P_i)_{i\in I}$ of representations P_i, then

$$\chi_P = \bigcap_{i\in I} \chi_{P_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_P = \bigcap_{i\in I} \varepsilon_{P_i}. \tag{19}$$

Following [5] an algebraic system $(\Phi; \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus}, \chi_\Phi)$, where $(\Phi; \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ is a $(2,n)$-semigroup of n-place functions on a set A and

$$\chi_\Phi = \{(f,g) \in \Phi \times \Phi \,|\, \mathrm{pr}_1 f \subset \mathrm{pr}_1 g\},$$

is called a *projection quasi-ordered* $(2,n)$*-semigroup of* n*-place functions.* It is characterized by the following theorem.

Theorem 5. *An algebraic system* $(G; \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus}, \chi)$*, where* $(G; \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus})$ *is a* $(2,n)$*-semigroup,* χ *is a binary relation on* G*, is isomorphic to a projection quasi-ordered* $(2,n)$*-semigroup of* n*-place functions if an only if it satisfies the condition (14) and* χ *is an l-regular, v-negative quasi-order.*

Proof. Necessity. Let $(\Phi; \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus}, \chi_\Phi)$ be a projection quasi-ordered $(2,n)$-semigroup of n-place functions. It is clear, that the relation χ_Φ is reflexive and transitive, i.e. it is a quasi-order. By Theorem 1 the condition (14) is satisfied.

Assume that for some $f, g \in \Phi$ we have $(f,g) \in \chi_\Phi$, i.e. $\mathrm{pr}_1 f \subset \mathrm{pr}_1 g$. If $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathrm{pr}_1 (f \underset{i}{\oplus} h)$, where $h \in \Phi$, then there exists $c \in A$ such that $(a_1^n, c) \in f \underset{i}{\oplus} H$, whence $(a_1^n, b) \in h$ and $(a_1^{i-1}\, b\, a_{i+1}^n, c) \in f$ for some $b \in A$. So, $(a_1^{i-1}\, b\, a_{i+1}^n) \in \mathrm{pr}_1 f$. Therefore $(a_1^{i-1}\, b\, a_{i+1}^n) \in \mathrm{pr}_1 g$, whence $(a_1^{i-1}\, b\, a_{i+1}^n, d) \in g$ for some $d \in A$. Thus, $(a_1^n, b) \in h$ and $(a_1^{i-1}\, b\, a_{i+1}^n, d) \in g$. Hence $(a_1^n, d) \in g \underset{i}{\oplus} h$, i.e. $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathrm{pr}_1 (g \underset{i}{\oplus} h)$, which proves the inclusion $\mathrm{pr}_1 (f \underset{i}{\oplus} h) \subset \mathrm{pr}_1 (g \underset{i}{\oplus} h)$. So, the relation χ_Φ is l-regular.

Now let $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathrm{pr}_1 (f \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s)$ for some $f, g_1, \ldots, g_s \in \Phi$. This means that $f \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s \langle a_1^n \rangle \neq \varnothing$.[5] Thus, according to (12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varnothing \neq f \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s \langle a_1^n \rangle &= f[(I_1^n \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s) \ldots (I_n^n \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s)]\langle a_1^n \rangle \\ &= f\Big(I_1^n \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s \langle a_1^n \rangle \,, \ldots ,\, I_n^n \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s \langle a_1^n \rangle \Big), \end{aligned}$$

---

[5] The expression $f\langle a_1^n \rangle$ denotes the set $\{f(a_1^n)\}$, if $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathrm{pr}_1 f$, and empty set, if $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \notin \mathrm{pr}_1 f$.

where $I_1^n, \ldots, I_n^n$ are n-place projectors on A. Hence $I_i^n \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s \langle a_1^n \rangle \neq \varnothing$ for any $i \in \{i_1, \ldots, i_s\}$. Thus $\mu_i(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s)\langle a_1^n \rangle \neq \varnothing$, i.e. $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathrm{pr}_1\, \mu_i(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} g_1^s)$. This shows that the relation χ_Φ is v-negative.

Sufficiency. Let all the conditions of the theorem will be satisfied by an algebraic system $(G; \underset{1}{\oplus}, \ldots, \underset{n}{\oplus}, \chi)$ and let $G^* = G \cup \{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$, where $e_1, \ldots, e_n \notin G$. Consider the set $\mathbf{B_0}$ defined in the following way:

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbf{B_0} \longleftrightarrow (\forall i = 1, \ldots, n)\ x_i = \mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)$$

for some $y_1, \ldots, y_s \in G$, $i_1, \ldots, i_s \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, where $\mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)$ denotes an element from G^* defined in the proof of Theorem 1.

Let $a \in G$ be fixed. For every $g \in G$ we define an n-place function $P_a(g)$ from $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B_0} \cup \{(e_1, \ldots, e_n)\}$ to G putting

$$P_a(g)(x_1^n) = \begin{cases} g \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s & \text{if } \ x_i = \mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s),\ i = 1, \ldots, n, \text{ and } a \sqsubset g \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s \\ & \qquad \text{for some } \ y_1, \ldots, y_s \in G, \\ g & \text{if } \ (x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (e_1, \ldots, e_n) \text{ and } a \sqsubset g, \end{cases}$$

where $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbf{B}$ and $a \sqsubset g \longleftrightarrow (a, g) \in \chi$. It is clear that $P_a(g)$ is a partial n-place function on G^*.

Let us show that $P_a : g \mapsto P_a(g)$ is a homomorphism, i.e. we verify the identity

$$P_a(g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2) = P_a(g_1) \underset{i}{\oplus} P_a(g_2). \tag{20}$$

For this consider $g_1, g_2 \in G$, $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbf{B}$ and $(x_1^n, y) \in P_a(g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2)$, where $y \in G$.

1) If $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbf{B_0}$, i.e. $x_i = \mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, for some $y_1, \ldots, y_s \in G$ and $i_1, \ldots, i_s \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, then evidently

$$a \sqsubset y = (g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2) \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s\,. \tag{21}$$

But $i \in \{i, i_1, \ldots, i_s\}$, so

$$\mu_i^*(\underset{i}{\oplus} g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s) = \mu_i(\underset{i}{\oplus} g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s) = g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s\,. \tag{22}$$

Therefore, by the v-negativity of χ, from (21) we deduce

$$a \sqsubset (g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2) \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s \sqsubset \mu_i(\underset{i}{\oplus} g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s) = g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s\,.$$

Hence $a \sqsubset g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s$, i.e. $P_a(g_2)(x_1^n) = g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s$. For $k \neq i$ we have obviously

$$\mu_k^*(\underset{i}{\oplus} g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s) = \mu_k^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s) = x_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

which together with (22) implies $(x_1^{i-1}, g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s, x_{i+1}^n) \in \mathbf{B_0}$. Thus, by (21), we obtain

$$P_a(g_1)(x_1^{i-1}, g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s, x_{i+1}^n) = g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s = y,$$

i.e. $P_a(g_1)\Big(x_1^{i-1}, P_a(g_2)(x_1^n), x_{i+1}^n\Big) = y$. So, $P_a(g_1) \underset{i}{\oplus} P_a(g_2)(x_1^n) = y$, and in the consequence, $(x_1^n, y) \in P_a(g_1) \underset{i}{\oplus} P_a(g_2)$.

2) If $(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$, then $a \sqsubset y = g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2$. We have also $g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2 \sqsubset g_2$, $\mu_i^*(\underset{i}{\oplus} g_2) = g_2$ and $\mu_k^*(\underset{i}{\oplus} g_2) = e_k$ for $k \neq i$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. From the above we obtain $P_a(g_2)(e_1, \dots, e_n) = g_2$, $(e_1^{i-1}, g_2, e_{i+1}^n) \in \mathbf{B_0}$ and $P_a(g_1)(e_1^{i-1}, g_2, e_{i+1}^n) = g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2$. Thus $P_a(g_1)\Big(e_1^{i-1}, P_a(g_2)(e_1^n), e_{i+1}^n\Big) = y$, whence $(e_1^n, y) \in P_a(g_1) \underset{i}{\oplus} P_a(g_2)$.

In this way, we have shown that in both cases $(x_1^n, y) \in P_a(g_1) \underset{i}{\oplus} P_a(g_2)$. This proves the inclusion $P_a(g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2) \subset P_a(g_1) \underset{i}{\oplus} P_a(g_2)$.

To prove the converse inclusion, let $(x_1^n, y) \in P_a(g_1) \underset{i}{\oplus} P_a(g_2)$. Then there exists $z \in G$ such that

$$(x_1^n, z) \in P_a(g_2), \tag{23}$$

$$(x_1^{i-1}\, z\, x_{i+1}^n, y) \in P_a(g_1). \tag{24}$$

1) If $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{B_0}$, then $x_i = \mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, for some $y_1, \dots, y_s \in G$, $i_1, \dots, i_s \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. So, from (23) we get $a \sqsubset z = g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s$. Because $\mu_i^*(\underset{i}{\oplus} g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s) = g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s = z$ and $\mu_k^*(\underset{i}{\oplus} g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s) = \mu_k^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s) = x_k$ for $k \neq i$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, the condition (24) can be written in the form $a \sqsubset y = g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2 \overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}} y_1^s$, which is equivalent to $(x_1^n, y) \in P_a(g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2)$.

2) If $(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$, then (23) gives $a \sqsubset z = g_2$. Similarly, (24) implies $(e_1^{i-1}, g_2, e_{i+1}^n) \in P_a(g_1)$. But $(e_1^{i-1}, g_2, e_{i+1}^n) \in \mathbf{B_0}$, therefore $P_a(g_1)(e_1^{i-1}, g_2, e_{i+1}^n) = g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2 = y$, i.e. $a \sqsubset y = g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2$, which means that $(e_1^n, y) \in P_a(g_1 \underset{i}{\oplus} g_2)$.

So, in both cases we have $P_a(g_1)\underset{i}{\oplus}P_a(g_2)\subset P_a(g_1\underset{i}{\oplus}g_2)$, which together with the previous inclusion proves (20). Thus, P_a is a representation of a $(2,n)$-semigroup $(G;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ by n-place functions.

Let P_0 be the sum of the family of representations $(P_a)_{a\in G}$, i.e.

$$P_0=\sum_{a\in G}P_a\,. \tag{25}$$

Then, of course, P_0 also is a representation of this $(2,n)$-semigroup by n-place functions.

Now we show that $\chi=\chi_{P_0}$. Indeed, if $(g_1,g_2)\in\chi_{P_0}$, then, by (19), we have $(g_1,g_2)\in\bigcap\limits_{a\in G}\chi_{P_a}$, i.e. $\mathrm{pr}_1\,P_a(g_1)\subset\mathrm{pr}_1\,P_a(g_2)$ for every $a\in G$, which means that

$$(\forall a\in G)(\forall x_1^n\in\mathbf{B})\Big((x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathrm{pr}_1\,P_a(g_1)\longrightarrow(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathrm{pr}_1\,P_a(g_2)\Big).$$

This, in particular, for $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=(e_1,\ldots,e_n)$ shows that

$$(\forall a\in G)\Big((\exists y\in G)(e_1^n,y)\in P_a(g_1)\longrightarrow(\exists z\in G)(e_1^n,z)\in P_a(g_2)\Big),$$

which means that

$$(\forall a\in G)(\forall y\in G)(a\sqsubset y=g_1\longrightarrow(\exists z\in G)a\sqsubset z=g_2).$$

So,

$$(\forall a\in G)(\forall g_1\in G)\Big(a\sqsubset g_1\longrightarrow a\sqsubset g_2\Big).$$

According to the reflexivity of χ, the last condition implies $g_1\sqsubset g_2$, i.e. $(g_1,g_2)\in\chi$. So, $\chi_{P_0}\subset\chi$.

Conversely, let $(g_1,g_2)\in\chi$, $a\in G$ and $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathrm{pr}_1\,P_a(g_1)$. If $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathbf{B_0}$, then $a\sqsubset g_1\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s$, where $x_i=\mu_i^*(\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s)$, $i=1,\ldots,n$. Since χ is l-regular, $g_1\sqsubset g_2$ implies $g_1\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s\sqsubset g_2\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s$. Thus $a\sqsubset g_2\overset{i_s}{\underset{i_1}{\oplus}}y_1^s$, i.e. $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathrm{pr}_1\,P_a(g_2)$. If $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=(e_1,\ldots,e_n)$, then $a\sqsubset g_1$. Therefore $a\sqsubset g_2$, which gives $(e_1,\ldots,e_n)\in\mathrm{pr}_1\,P_a(g_2)$. Thus, we have shown, that for any $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathbf{B}$ from $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathrm{pr}_1\,P_a(g_1)$ it follows $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\mathrm{pr}_1\,P_a(g_2)$. From this, according to (19) and (25), we conclude $\mathrm{pr}_1\,P_0(g_1)\subset\mathrm{pr}_1\,P_0(g_2)$, i.e. $(g_1,g_2)\in\chi_{P_0}$. So, $\chi\subset\chi_{P_0}$. Hence $\chi=\chi_{P_0}$.

Since a $(2,n)$-semigroup $(G;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus})$ satisfies the condition (14), by Theorem 2, there exists an isomorphic representation Λ of this $(2,n)$-semigroup by full n-place functions. Hence $\chi_{\Lambda}=G\times G$ and $\varepsilon_{\Lambda}=\triangle_G$. Now consider the representation P of the given $(2,n)$-semigroup, which is defined by the equality $P=\Lambda+P_0$. We have $\chi_P=\chi_{\Lambda}\cap\chi_{P_0}=G\times G\cap\chi=\chi$ and $\varepsilon_P=\varepsilon_{\Lambda}\cap\varepsilon_{P_0}=\triangle_G\cap\varepsilon_{P_0}=\triangle_G$. This means that P is a faithful representation. So $(G;\underset{1}{\oplus},\ldots,\underset{n}{\oplus},\chi)$ is isomorphic to some projection quasi-ordered $(2,n)$-semigroup of n-place functions. $\square$

References

[1] Belousov V. D. *Systems of orthogonal operations*, (Russian), Mat. Sbornik **77 (119)** (1968), 38 – 58.

[2] Dudek W. A., Trokhimenko V. S. *Representations of Menger* $(2,n)$*-semigroups by multiplace functions.* Commun. Algebra, (in print).

[3] Mann H. *On orthogonal Latin squares*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **50** (1944), 249 – 257.

[4] Schein B. M. *Lectures on semigroups of transformations*, Amer. Math. Soc. Translat. (2), **113** (1979), 123 – 181.

[5] Schein B. M., Trohimenko V. S. *Algebras of multiplace functions*, Semigroup Forum **17** (1979), 1 – 64.

[6] Sokhatsky F. N. *An abstract characterization of* $(2,n)$*-semigroups of* n*-ary operations*, (Russian), Mat. Issled. **65** (1982), 132 – 139.

[7] Trokhimenko V. S. *On algebras of binary operations*, (Russian), Mat. Issled. **7** (1972), 253 – 261.

[8] Yakubov T. *On* $(2,n)$*-semigroups of* n*-ary operations*, (Russian), Bull. Akad. Ştiinţe SSR Moldov. **1** (1974), 29 – 46.

[9] Zaretsky K. A. *An abstract characterization of the bisemigroups of binary operations*, (Russian), Mat. Zametki **1** (1967), 525 – 530.

Dudek W. A.
Institute of Mathematics
Technical University
50-370 Wroclaw
Poland
Email: dudek@im.pwr.wroc.pl

Trokhimenko V. S.
Department of Mathematics
Pedagogical University
21100 Vinnitsa
Ukraine
Email: vtrokhim@sovamua.com